

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,374	02/24/2004	Brent L. Bristol	H0005830-1180	3396
128	7590 09/06/2005		EXAMINER	
	LL INTERNATION	EDGAR, RICHARD A		
101 COLUMBIA ROAD P O BOX 2245 MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3745	

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Symmetry	10/786,374	BRISTOL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INO DATE of this communication	Richard Edgar	3745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	lears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 February 2004 under 37C.F.R. §1.53(b).						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,9-12,19-21,28 and 29 is/are reject 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-8,13-18 and 22-27 is/are objected to 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. red.	• •				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/24/2005</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because:

The reference character "F_e" in Figs. 3 and 4 should be --F_P --.

Figs. 5A and 5B are improperly numbered pursuant to 37 CFR §1.84(u)(1). Note the figures are not partial views. The views should be renumbered as FIG. 6 and FIG. 7, respectively. Amendments to the specification including the BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS and DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT should be correspondingly amended.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "194" has been used in Fig. 5 to designate both as stop protrusion and a part of the valve seat 180.

Also, "192" was used in Fig. 3 to represent the clearance, whereas "192" is used in Fig. 5 to represent a portion of the valve seat 180.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 196 (see Fig. 5A). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

In paragraph 0020, line 7, "the check valve" should be -- The check valve--.

In paragraph 0030, line 4, "180a" should be --200--.

In paragraph 0031, line 16, "(Pgearbox - Pstarter)" should be -- (PG - PS) --.

In paragraph 0033, line 8, "177" should be -- 182 --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 11 and 21, 24-26 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 6, "generative" should be -- generating --.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,374

Art Unit: 3745

In claim 11, lines 13-14, "the gearbox assembly and the starter housing" should be -- a gearbox assembly and a starter housing --.

In claim 21, lines 17-18, "the gearbox assembly and the starter housing" should be -- a gearbox assembly and a starter housing --.

Claims 24-26 should depend from claim 23.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 11-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,681,579 (Lane et al. hereinafter) in view of United States Patent No. 1,897,492 (Ledoux hereinafter).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference

Application/Control Number: 10/786,374

Art Unit: 3745

under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2)

Lane et al. teach an air turbine starter comprising a starter housing 10 adapted to couple to a gearbox assembly C, the starter housing including an opening configured to provide fluid communication between the gearbox assembly and the starter housing, wherein at least a portion of the gearbox assembly is at a pressure greater than a pressure in the starter housing, thereby generating a pressure force therebetween; and a check valve assembly 100 is disposed within the opening.

Lane et al. do not teach the check valve closing in response to buoyant forces.

Ledoux show in Fig. 2, a check valve assembly comprising: a valve body 9d having an inlet port, an outlet port, and a flow passage therebetween; a valve seat 9a adjacent the valve body and having an opening 9b therethrough, the valve seat opening in fluid communication with the valve body flow passage; and a valve element 9d disposed between the valve seat 9a and the valve body 9d, the valve element capable of being acted upon by a gravitational force, a viscous force of the fluid to be communicated through the flow passage, a buoyancy force of the valve element, and the pressure force of the valve element, the valve element configured to translate axially to a closed position when the inlet port pressure is greater than the outlet port pressure, and the weight force of the valve element 9d is less than the buoyant, pressure and

viscous drag of the fluid to be communicated through the flow passage 9b (see page 2, lines 20-43).

The valve body 9d comprises a backing plate 9c comprising a cage extending across the flow passage including angled protrusions extending axially from at least one portion of the cage configured to selectively contact the valve element 9d (see shape of 9c in Fig. 2).

The viscous fluid force (i.e. drag force) acts in a direction opposite to the fluid pressure force, contrary to that shown in Applicants' figures, as is well known to those having ordinary skill in the art. Also, noted is that there is no drag force when the valve is statically constrained in the closed position.

Since Lane et al. teach a check valve for an air turbine starter, and Ledoux teaches a check valve for controlling fluid, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to use the valve taught by Ledoux as the check valve taught in Lane et al. for the purpose of minimizing the flow of lubricant to the starter housing from the gearbox assembly when the gearbox assembly pressure is greater than the starter housing pressure.

Claims 9-10, 19-20 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 6,681,579 (Lane et al. hereinafter) in view of United States Patent No. 1,897,492 (Ledoux hereinafter) as applied to claims 1, 11, and 21, respectively above, and further in view of United States Patent No. 4,986,310 (Bailey et al. hereinafter).

The applied Lane et al. reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the Lane et al. reference, it constitutes prior Application/Control Number: 10/786,374

Art Unit: 3745

art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by:

(1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the Lane et al. reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the Lane et al. reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the Lane et al. reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and Lane et al. reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the Lane et al. reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

The modified Lane et al. shows a valve comprising a valve element and a valve seat, but neither the Lane et al. reference nor the Ledoux reference teach or disclose the valve seat comprising an elastomeric material in contact with the valve element.

Bailey et al. teach an elastomeric valve seat 20 having an elastomeric portion 36 thereon for the purpose of sealing the valve element 48 to the valve seat 20.

Since the modified Lane et al. utilizes a valve element to valve seat seal, and Bailey et al. expressly teach to use an elastomeric valve seat for a check valve, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the valve seat 9a of Ledoux from an elastomeric material, as taught by Bailey et al. for the purpose of sealing the valve element to the valve seat.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-8, 13-18 and 22-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 3, 13 and 22 require the protrusion to be adjustable, and Ledoux only disclose a rigid, angled protrusion with no expectation of success in using an adjustable protrusion.

Claims 4-7, 14-17, 23-26 each require the valve element to comprise a shell. Ledoux only disclose a buoyant ball with a mass 9e on the outside of the ball, and not if the ball is hollow, thereby creating a shell. One having ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to alter the ball of Ledoux, absent Applicants' disclosure, since there is no motivation for providing a ball with a shell in the cited references.

Claims 8, 18 and 27 require the valve element density to be greater than the fluid communicated between the gearbox assembly and the start housing. Ledoux teaches away from this requirement since Ledoux teaches a buoyant ball.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Edgar whose telephone number is (571) 272-4816. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. and alternate Fri., 7 am- 5 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,374 Page 9

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217,9197 (toll-free).

Richard Edgar

Examiner Art Unit 3745

RE